IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA ALL DIVISIONS

CASES ASSIGNED TO THE HONORABLE RICHARD L. VOORHEES

TORRES v. COLVIN	1:14CV7)
DEL VECCHIO v. COLVIN	1:14CV116)
WHITE v. COLVIN	1:14CV161)
	1:14CV182)
	1:14CV210)
MARTIN v. COLVIN	1:14CV234)
CRAIG v. COLVIN	1:14CV256	ORDER
ADKINS v. COLVIN	1:15CV1)
FORERO v. COLVIN	1:15CV6)
BROWN v. COLVIN	1:15CV46)
LEDFORD v. COLVIN	1:15CV56)
MOSS v. COLVIN	1:15CV75)
GRIFFIS v. COLVIN	2:12CV29)
HAGEDORN v. COLVIN	2:12CV85)
	2:13CV33)
BASTY v. COLVIN	2:13CV37)
BRAMBLETT v. COLVIN	2:14CV11)
KISSELBURG v. COLVIN	2:14CV48)
ASHCRAFT v. COLVIN	3:13CV417)
WILLIAMS v. COLVIN	3:13CV701)
	3:14CV192)
ELLERBE v. COLVIN	3:14CV275)
BROWN v. COLVIN	3:14CV409)
MELTON v. COLVIN	3:14CV447)
HICKLIN-JONES v. COLVIN	3:14CV584)
HAND v. COLVIN	3:14CV626)
	3:14CV633)
	3:14CV655)
	3:15CV72)
	3:15CV109)
	5:12CV191)
ANDERSON v. COLVIN)
MASH v. COLVIN	5:13CV139)
BRADSHAW v. COLVIN	5:14CV5)
SINGER v. COLVIN	5:14CV34)
WOOD v. COLVIN	5:14CV171)
SCHRUM v. COLVIN	5:15CV45)
		_)

THIS MATTER is before the Court *sua sponte* in light of *Mascio v. Colvin*, 780 F.3d 632 (4th Cir. 2015). The parties are hereby directed to consult one another and discuss, in good faith, whether the ruling in *Mascio* requires sentence four remand pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) for rehearing or other administrative proceedings. The parties shall then advise the Court via Status Report to be filed with the Court on or before June 8, 2015 on this issue, namely, whether remand to the Commissioner of Social Security is appropriate. The Status Report must certify that counsel have, in fact, discussed *Mascio* and its implications in the specific case. If a consent to remand is not proposed and supplemental briefing is requested by either party, all supplemental filings should be submitted on or before June 15, 2015.

SO ORDERED.

Signed: May 21, 2015

Richard L. Voorhees United States District Judge

¹ The mandate issued on May 11, 2015.